

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

**ANTONIO MAESTAS, CHAD HENRY,
and ERIKA HENRY,**

Plaintiffs,¹

v.

No. 14-cv-0631 GBW/SMV

**CIMAREX ENERGY CO.; T&T TRANSPORTS, INC.;
CASEDHOLE SOLUTIONS, INC.;
HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVS., INC.;
STEPHEN THERIAULT; JAMES HUMES;
BOB MOSS; JOSE GARZA; DONALD JOHNSON;
JAMES HARRIS; MIKE KELLY; CARLOS CASTILLO;
and JOHN or JANE DOES 1–5;**

Defendants.²

ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

THIS MATTER is before the Court pursuant to a telephonic status conference held on April 28, 2015. To facilitate a final disposition of this case, a mandatory Settlement Conference will be conducted in accordance with Rule 16(a)(5) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The conference will be held on **July 27, 2015, at 9:00 a.m.** in the **Pecos Courtroom, Third Floor (East), Pete V. Domenici United States Courthouse at 333 Lomas Boulevard Northwest in Albuquerque, New Mexico.**

¹ The Amended Complaint [Doc. 42], filed August 27, 2014, did not name Chad Henry and Erika Henry as plaintiffs. Accordingly, both Chad Henry and Erika Henry were terminated as plaintiffs.

² Summary judgment was granted in favor of Defendants T&T Transports, Inc. and Jose Garza on all claims on August 11, 2014. [Doc. 36]. Claims against Defendants Stephen Theriault, James Humes, Bob Moss, Donald Johnson, James Harris, and Mike Kelly, and Carlos Castillo were dismissed on September 16, 2014. [Doc. 55]. On December 16, 2014, the Court granted Casedhole Solutions Inc.'s Unopposed Motion for Summary Judgment against Plaintiffs on all claims, *see* [Doc. 77]; accordingly, Casedhole Solutions is no longer a party to this action. The remaining Defendants are Climarex Energy Co., Halliburton Energy Services, Inc., and John or Jane Does 1–5.

The parties or a designated representative, other than counsel of record, with full authority to resolve the case, must attend in person. Counsel who will try the case must also attend in person. Counsel are encouraged to read “Keys to a Successful Mediation” by Judge James A. Hall prior to the settlement conference.³ Those attending the settlement conference must treat as confidential the information discussed, positions taken, and offers made by other participants in preparation for and during the conference.⁴ *See generally Hand v. Walnut Valley Sailing Club*, No. 11-3228, 2012 WL 1111137 (10th Cir. Apr. 2, 2012) (unpublished) (affirming dismissal of case as sanction for violating confidentiality of settlement conference).

No later than **July 8, 2015**, Plaintiff⁵ shall serve on Defendant a letter setting forth at least the following information: (a) a brief summary of the evidence and legal principles that Plaintiff assert will allow it to establish liability; (b) a brief explanation of why damages or other relief would be warranted; (c) an itemization of the principles supporting those damages; and (d) a settlement demand.

No later than **July 15, 2015**, Defendant shall serve on Plaintiff a letter that sets forth at least the following information: (a) any points in Plaintiff’s letter with which the defense agrees; (b) any points in Plaintiff’s letter with which the defense disagrees, with references to supporting

³ For ease of reference, the Court has posted the article on its website, and the article may be accessed at <http://www.nmcourt.fed.us/web/DCDOCS/Judges/Files/HallMediationArticle.pdf>

⁴ This does not prohibit disclosures stipulated to by the parties, necessary in proceedings to determine the existence of a binding settlement agreement, or as otherwise required by law.

⁵ Herein, the terms “Plaintiff” and “Defendant” shall encompass both singular and plural meanings.

evidence and legal principles; and (c) a counteroffer.⁶ If a release is contemplated, defense counsel shall include a proposed form of release with the letter.

Each of these letters typically should be five pages or fewer, and counsel must ensure that each party reads the opposing party's letter before the Settlement Conference. If the case does not settle, Plaintiff shall provide copies of these letters to the Court no later than **5:00 p.m. on July 20, 2015**.

It has been the Court's experience that disagreement over special damages—e.g., past medical expenses, lost wages, property damages—often presents an obstacle to settlement. Therefore, if Plaintiff is claiming special damages, Plaintiff's letter must itemize such special damages and state the exact dollar amount Plaintiff is claiming for each category. If Defendant disagrees with the amount of special damages listed in Plaintiff's letter, Defendant's letter must state the exact dollar amount Defendant believes to be correct for each category. In other words, if Plaintiff claims \$1,000 in past medical expenses, and Defendant believes the correct amount of past medical expenses is \$500, Defendant's letter must clearly state that Plaintiff's past medical expenses amount to \$500. It is insufficient simply to say, "Defendant disagrees that Plaintiff has \$1,000 in past medical expenses." If there is a dispute over any item of special damages, counsel will be required to do two things. First, lead trial counsel for Plaintiff and lead trial counsel for Defendant must meet (in person or telephonically) prior to the settlement conference to try to resolve the dispute. Counsel are specifically instructed to talk to each other; an exchange of emails or correspondence is insufficient. Second, if the dispute cannot be resolved, lead trial

⁶ If the parties have engaged in settlement negotiations, Plaintiff's demand should be lower than Plaintiff's most recent demand, and Defendant's counteroffer should be higher than Defendant's most recent counteroffer.

counsel for Plaintiff and lead trial counsel for Defendant will each bring with them to the settlement conference all documentation supporting their position on special damages and will be prepared to personally argue their position. This duty cannot be delegated to an associate, a party representative, or an insurance representative. The Court will expect each lead trial counsel to be prepared to present his or her case on special damages.

No later than **5:00 p.m. on July 20, 2015**, each party must provide the Court, in confidence, a concise position statement (typically no more than ten pages) containing an analysis of the strengths and weaknesses of its case and the names of the individuals who will be attending the conference and in what capacity. Position statements must be submitted to the Court by e-mail at VidmarChambers@nmcourt.fed.us.⁷

Furthermore, if any party has in its possession any video or audio recording of the incident upon which this action is based, that party must submit a copy of the recording to the Court no later than **5:00 p.m. on July 20, 2015**.

The Settlement Conference will not be vacated or rescheduled except upon motion and for good cause shown. Any motion to vacate or reschedule the Settlement Conference shall provide the Court with sufficient notice to ensure that other matters may be scheduled in the time allotted for the Settlement Conference.

The Court may contact counsel ex parte prior to the Settlement Conference to discuss the Settlement Conference.

⁷ Each e-mail message and its attachments cannot exceed 5 MB. Data exceeding 5 MB should be submitted in individual e-mail messages, each less than 5 MB.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED as follows:

**Plaintiff's letter and settlement demand
due to Defendant:**

July 8, 2015

**Defendant's letter and counteroffer
due to Plaintiff:**

July 15, 2015

**Plaintiff provides copies of settlement letters
to the Court by:**

July 20, 2015, by 5:00 p.m.

**Parties' confidential position statements
due to the Court:**

July 20, 2015, by 5:00 p.m.

Settlement Conference:

July 27, 2015, at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



STEPHAN M. VIDMAR
United States Magistrate Judge